INTRODUCTION

Injury to the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) has been reported to result in knee instability over time.\[^1\] While these tears have been recognized as a source of pain and impaired function, they have also been reported to lead to the development of osteoarthritis.\[^1\] However, the actual prevalence of PCL injury is relatively unknown given that this injury is often misdiagnosed or undiagnosed.\[^2,3\] Current PCL injury prevalence data vary greatly (1–44%) based on different study settings and populations.\[^4-9\] Thus, a proper understanding of PCL injury is of clinical importance in the practice of orthopedic surgery and sports medicine.

The understanding of PCL anatomy and biomechanics has greatly improved in recent years and has resulted in improved conservative, surgical, and rehabilitation treatment options.\[^10-14\] However, controversy remains over decision-making for non-operative versus operative care, and the choice of the appropriate surgical technique to provide the best clinical outcomes.\[^10,12,15-20\] Current literature is limited regarding comparative PCL surgical techniques.
and long-term follow-up studies.[10] This review will discuss the current state of knowledge of PCL anatomy and biomechanics, diagnosis and treatment modalities, and the authors’ preferred surgical technique and associated clinical outcomes.

ETIOLOGY/INCIDENCE

Isolated PCL tears are relatively rare, comprising only 3% of outpatient knee injuries.[5] These injuries more commonly occur alongside other ligament tears (approximately 97%), and constitute up to 38% of acute knee injuries at a trauma center.[5] Injury to the PCL classically occurs due to a “dashboard injury” or external trauma from a posterior force applied to the anterior aspect of the proximal tibia during knee flexion. PCL tears also commonly occur with a fall onto the knee with a plantar-flexed foot or with a direct, posteriorly directed strike to the anterior tibia, as is commonly seen in athletic injuries during American football, skiing, rugby, and soccer/football.[5] Non-contact PCL injury due to hyperextension or hyperflexion is much less common.[22]

ANATOMY

The PCL is the largest intra-articular ligament in the knee. It originates on the anterolateral aspect of the medial femoral condyle and inserts inferior to the posterior joint line into a depression between the posterior aspects of the medial and lateral tibial plateaus, called the PCL facet, and just proximal to a shallow ledge known as the champagne-glass drop-off.[22-25] The mean length of the PCL ranges from 32 mm to 38 mm, while the mean cross-sectional area ranges from 11 mm² to 13 mm².[24,26] It is composed of two distinct but inseparable bundles, the larger anterolateral bundle (ALB) and the smaller posteromedial bundles (PMB).[24,27-29]

Femoral attachment of the PCL

The area of the femoral attachment of the PCL in the medial intercondylar notch is approximately double the size of its tibial attachment and has been reported to range from 112 mm² to 118 mm².[22,28,30,31] The anatomic centers of the ALB and PMB femoral attachments are an average of 12.1 mm apart. Several arthroscopic landmarks have been described to aid the surgeon in determining the femoral attachments of the PCL bundles; these are the trochlear, medial arch, and posterior points along the condylar cartilage margin, and the medial intercondylar ridge and bifurcate prominence of the intercondylar notch [Figure 1].

The ALB is located along the roof of the intercondylar notch and adjacent to the articular cartilage margin. The center of the ALB is located 7.4 mm from the trochlear

Tibial attachment of the PCL

In comparison to its femoral attachment, the PCL tibial insertion is more compact.[23,35,36] The fibers of the two bundles insert together below the articular surface onto the PCL facet, roughly 50% across the width of the tibial plateau.[22,25] At the tibial attachment, the PMB footprint wraps posteriorly around the ALB footprint in an analogous pattern to how the AMB of the ACL wraps anteriorly around the PLB.[22,32,37] A bony landmark, termed the bundle ridge, lies posterior to the ALB insertion and anterior to the PMB, separating the tibial footprints of the two bundles.[28,39] During PCL reconstruction, the drill guide should be positioned so that the guide pin emerges just proximal (1.3 mm) to the bundle ridge[22] [Figure 2]. Due to
LaPrade, et al.: Posterior cruciate ligament

the compact nature of the tibial PCL attachment, only one tibial tunnel is necessary.

The distolateral corner of the shiny white fibers (SWFs) of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus (PHMM) has been termed the SWF point and is one of several PCL facet arthroscopic landmarks. It is important to not impinge on the SWFs during PCL tunnel reaming to avoid an iatrogenic medial meniscus root tear [Figure 3].

Radiographic description of PCL tibial anatomy can be divided into anteroposterior (AP) and lateral views. On the AP view, the PCL anatomic center is located 1.6 mm ± 2.5 mm distal to the joint line. For medial-lateral reference, the PCL tibial insertion is distally aligned with the lateral tibial eminence (LTE).

On the lateral radiographic view, the center of the PCL footprint lays 5.5 ± 1.7 mm superior to the perpendicular champagne glass drop-off (CGD) line. The CGD line refers to a reference line drawn perpendicular to the long axis of the tibia which intersects the CGD on the posterior tibial cortex [38,39] [Figure 4].

Meniscofemoral ligaments

The meniscofemoral ligaments are closely associated with the PCL bundles and connect the posterior horn of the lateral meniscus to the intercondylar notch [23,26,40] [Figure 5]. The anterior meniscofemoral ligament (of Humphrey) and

Figure 2: Fluoroscopic evaluation of tibial guide pin placement during posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) reconstruction. The grafts for the anterolateral bundle and posteromedial bundle (ALB and PMB) grafts are secured in two femoral tunnels. An Achilles tendon allograft is used for the ALB and a tibialis anterior allograft for the PMB. Both grafts then pass through one transtibial tunnel. A guide pin is placed before creating the tibial tunnel, entering midway between the anterior tibial crest and the medial border of the tibia and emerging between the anatomic ALB and PMB centers on the tibial PCL facet, indicated above with white circles. The PCL facet is just superior to the champagne-glass drop-off (CGD), and the footprints of the bundles are separated by a bundle ridge. A 7 mm back wall should be maintained. The tibial tunnel is drilled at a 45° angle with the long axis of the tibia, perpendicular to two horizontal lines (dashed lines) from the anterior to posterior tibial cortices.

Figure 3: Posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) facet arthroscopic anatomy: These two views from the posteromedial portal demonstrate important landmarks during PCL reconstruction. In (a), an arthroscopic shaver is used to clean the area around the tibial PCL insertion after ablation. The tip of the shaver can be seen dipping behind the bundle ridge, a structure which separates the insertions of the anterolateral bundle and posteromedial bundle. In (b), the shiny white fibers (SWFs) of the medial meniscus can be seen as the tibial guide pin emerges from the PCL facet and a large curette protects from over-penetration posteriorly. PHMM: Posterior horn of the medial meniscus, MTP: Medial tibial plateau, CGD: Champagne-glass drop-off.
The pMFL femoral attachment is found proximal to the PMB and the medial intercondylar ridge. Ideally, the MFLs should be left intact during a DB-PCLR [Figure 6].

BIOMECHANICS

The main biomechanical functions of the PCL are to provide restraint against posterior tibial translation (PTT) at all flexion angles, and limit internal and external rotation beyond 90° of flexion. The PCL, in addition to being the largest intra-articular ligament, is also the strongest due to its high tensile strength (1620 N and 258 N for ALB and PMB, respectively) and fiber orientation. The anterolateral and PMB were originally thought to exhibit reciprocal independent function, with the ALB predominant in deep flexion, and the PMB predominant in extension. However, recent literature has shown that the PCL bundles together exhibit synergistic and codominant behavior throughout the range of motion (ROM) of the knee. Both the ALB and PMB provide resistance to PTT throughout all flexion angles and the PCL as a whole has been reported to contribute up to 95% of posterior knee stability between 30° and 90° of knee flexion.

A recent study observed 11.7 mm of PTT at 90° after complete PCL sectioning (simulating a Grade III PCL tear). Additional biomechanical studies have also reported that the PCL plays a more varied role in rotational stability than has historically been appreciated. Kennedy et al., reporting on 20 match-paired human cadaveric knees, found that the PCL restricted internal rotation at all ranges of flexion, with the PMB specifically providing the majority of rotational control beyond 90° of flexion.

Fiber orientation and length within each respective PCL bundle corresponds to specific ranges of knee flexion; the ALB fibers are horizontal in full extension and become more vertically oriented in higher degrees of flexion. By contrast, the fibers of the PMB are more vertical in extension and horizontal in flexion. As has been noted, this interplay of fiber orientation and length ensure that neither bundle predominates and both are integral to preventing PTT throughout the ROM.

OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT

Diagnosis and evaluation

A majority of PCL tears occur concurrently with other injuries, most commonly to the posterolateral corner (PLC). The patient history should clarify the time of onset, mechanism of injury, and associated symptoms.
Patients with isolated PCL injuries often may be uncertain of the initial injury, while patients with combined or multi-ligament injuries tend to remember an inciting event. The physical exam should include inspection for a posterior sag of the tibia. 

Kneeling posterior stress radiographs measure side-to-side differences in PTT and are an objective, validated tool for determining posterior laxity, isolated versus combined injury, and for assessing chronic injuries. Partial PCL tears result in <8 mm of PTT (Grade 1), isolated complete PCL tears result in 8–12 mm of increased PTT (Grade 2), and PTT of >12 mm indicates a complete tear with injuries to other ligaments (Grade 3). The degree of tibial translation is important in determining the appropriate treatment for PCL tears. Patients with <8 mm of posterior translation may consider a non-operative rehabilitation program, while patients with more than 8 mm of PTT are more likely to require reconstructive surgery.

We recommend that all surgeons performing PCLR obtain both pre-operative and post-operative PCL stress radiographs to objectively assess the degree of injury and their post-operative outcomes [Figures 9 and 10].

NON-OPERATIVE MANAGEMENT

The PCL has some intrinsic healing ability due to its blood supply from the adjacent middle geniculate artery, which passes the PCL insertion on its way to the joint capsule. Therefore, some Grades 1 and 2 isolated PCL injuries may be treated using a non-operative rehabilitation program. Isolated PCL tears may be characterized by <8 mm difference in posterior laxity compared to the contralateral knee, <5° abnormal internal or external rotation at 30° of flexion, and an absence of concomitant collateral ligament injury. A non-operative management program should emphasize strengthening of the quadriceps mechanism and include the use of a dynamic PCL brace that returns the knee into neutral position and prevents posterior sag of the tibia. Often, some residual laxity has been reported after non-operative treatment due to healing in an attenuated position. Outcome studies after non-operative management of isolated PCL tears have reported that objectively measured degrees of laxity often do not correlate with severity of symptoms. A prospective study by Shelbourne et al. (1999) examining a cohort of 133 non-operatively treated patients with isolated PCL injuries reported improved Lysholm, Tegner, and modified Noyes subjective stability scores for pain and activity. Shelbourne et al. correlated improved Noyes subjective stability scores with improved quadriceps strength, but only roughly half of patients treated according to their non-operative regimen returned to sports at or near previous levels. However, Shelbourne's study did not include patients with acute PCL tears, because it has a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 97–100% in the acute phase. However, recent studies have reported that MRI is less sensitive and specific when assessing for a chronic PCL tear. This may be due to an accumulation of scar tissue, or healing in a non-functional and elongated position, which may hide the extent of damage to the ligament.

MRI is generally considered the gold standard in diagnosis of acute PCL tears, because it has a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 97–100% in the acute phase. However, recent studies have reported that MRI is less sensitive and specific when assessing for a chronic PCL tear. This may be due to an accumulation of scar tissue, or healing in a non-functional and elongated position, which may hide the extent of damage to the ligament. 

Non-operative management.
not obtain initial or final PCL stress radiographs so objective data are lacking. Other authors have reported that patients treated nonoperatively have higher residual laxity by objective measurement with MRI and stress radiographs compared to those treated by surgical reconstruction.\cite{17,32,64} Patients with PTT >8 mm or those with concomitant multi-ligament injuries may be better managed surgically to restore knee function and decrease the risk of future knee osteoarthritis.\cite{17,32,50}

### SINGLE-BUNDLE PCL RECONSTRUCTIONS (SB-PCLR) VERSUS DOUBLE-BUNDLE PCL RECONSTRUCTIONS (DB-PCLR)

Historically, SB-PCLR and DB-PCLR have been reported and practiced without a clear understanding of the biomechanics and functional anatomy of the PCL. In a SB-PCLR technique, only the ALB is reconstructed, while DB-PCLR restores the ALB and PMB with two femoral reconstruction tunnels. While both procedures have been reported to be successful with functional scores at short-term follow-up, biomechanical studies have clearly found that DB-PCLR provides practically native knee kinematic restoration, greater rotational stability, and normal anatomy in addition to higher International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores and decreased PTT.\cite{22,30-32,70-74} Therefore, the authors’
preferred surgical technique is a DB-PCLR with an 11 mm Achilles tendon allograft for the ALB reconstruction, and a 7 mm tibialis anterior allograft for the PMB reconstruction. In locations or for patients where allografts are not desired or available, we have found that the ALB can be reconstructed with a quadriceps tendon autograft with a bone plug and a semitendinosus autograft for the PMB.

Two-stage procedures have been reported using proximal tibial osteotomies in some patients with proximal tibial slope or varus/valgus deformities. A shallow proximal tibial slope (PTS, <5–6°) may cause increased AP instability and laxity, and corrective slope increasing proximal tibial osteotomy may be considered as a first stage for chronic PCL injuries to decrease shear forces on the graft. In patients with combined chronic PCL and PLC injuries, a biplanar osteotomy needs to be considered to correct additional varus malalignment before multiple ligament reconstructions due to the high risk of failure of the PLC reconstruction grafts.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

The patient is first placed supine on the operative table and induced with general anesthesia. A bilateral knee examination should be performed to confirm the diagnosis, assess the ROM, and evaluate for any concurrent ligament insufficiency. The tibiofemoral step-off should be assessed in the normal contralateral knee as the native tibiofemoral instability. The tibiofemoral step-off should be assessed for any concurrent ligament injuries. The SWFs of the PHMM should be identified, and the dissection is then turned toward identification of the PCL tibial attachment. Using arthroscopic shavers and coagulators, the PCL tibial footprint is carefully exposed through a posteromedial arthroscopic portal, with consideration for the proximity of nearby popliteal neurovascular structures. The SWFs of the PHMM are identified, and the dissection continued posterolaterally to identify the bundle ridge. The tibial attachment site of the PCL is located distal to the joint line, in the PCL facet just above the CGD. A guide pin is then drilled through the anteromedial tibia, entering the anteromedial tibia between the medial tibial border and the anterior tibial crest, 6 cm distal to the joint line. It should exit posteriorly at the bundle ridge in the center of the PCL tibial attachment. Intraoperative fluoroscopy is used to verify correct tibial pin placement. AP views should demonstrate this pin 1–2 mm distal to the joint line, in line with the medial aspect of the LTE. On lateral views, the pin should emerge 6–7 mm proximal to the champagne-glass drop-off [Figure 2].

The tibial tunnel is then reamed using a 12-mm acorn reamer to over-ream the guide pin. Reaming the tibial tunnel too proximally carries a risk of iatrogenic medial meniscal root injury. Complete meniscal root injury results in altered joint biomechanics identical to that seen with a medial meniscectomy and should be avoided at all costs.
through the anterolateral arthroscopic portal with the help of the passing suture and is then fixed with a $7 \times 20$ mm bioabsorbable interference screw (Smith and Nephew, London, UK) positioned at the tunnel’s posteroinferior aspect [Figure 12]. Next, the ALB’s bone plug is similarly passed into its respective femoral tunnel. The cortical side of the bone plug should be set in the ALB femoral tunnel’s anterior portion and alongside the articular cartilage. The graft is then secured with a $7 \times 20$ mm titanium interference screw positioned at the tunnel’s anterosuperior aspect.

The sutures in the ends of both grafts are then passed distally into the tibial tunnel using the aforementioned smoothing tool and out of the anteromedial aspect of the tibia, after PCL graft femoral fixation [Figure 13]. The grafts should be individually cycled multiple times to avoid bunching up of the grafts, followed by arthroscopic verification of appropriate reduction. Normal tibiofemoral step-off should also be assessed.

Figure 11: Femoral tunnels for double-bundle posterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Guide pins (a) are first drilled through the anatomic centers of the anterolateral bundle (ALB) and posteromedial bundle (PMB). The guide pins are overreamed with an 11 mm reamer to create an ALB tunnel, and a 7 mm reamer to create a PMB tunnel (b). The eyelet pins are then used to place passing stitches for later use in graft passage. It is important to leave at least 2 mm between the tunnels to prevent tunnel breakthrough. MFC: Medial femoral condyle.

Figure 12: Securing the PCL graft in the femur using interference screws. A $7 \times 20$ mm bioabsorbable screw is first used to secure the tibialis anterior graft in the posteromedial bundle (PMB) tunnel. Next, a $7 \times 20$ mm titanium cannulated screw is passed over a guide pin (a) to secure the Achilles tendon and with bone plug in the anterolateral bundle (ALB) tunnel. The titanium screw is passed through a plastic cannula to avoid soft tissue bridging during fixation (b) to secure the ALB bundle graft.
The PCL graft is then secured on the tibia. The ALB should be secured first at 90°, in neutral rotation, and with an anterior drawer to restore the tibiofemoral step-off. The graft is secured using a fully threaded, bicortical 6.5 mm cancellous screw, and an 18 mm spiked washer. Alternatively, bone staples can also be used but usually cause more postoperative pain than screws and washers. The PMB should then be secured with the knee in full extension, utilizing the same-sized screw and washer with an anterior force applied to the tibia and distal traction on the grafts. On completion, a posterior drawer test with the knee flexed at 90° should be performed to confirm posterior stability. Excess graft should be excised, and the wound closed with subcuticular sutures.

REHABILITATION

Postoperatively, patients should be non-weight bearing for a minimum of 6 weeks. They should transition from an immobilizer immediately after surgery to a dynamic PCL brace on adequate reduction of swelling, ideally in the first 4–5 days [50,85] [Figure 14]. The dynamic PCL brace should be worn at all times except for hygiene. Physical therapy should include initiation of prone passive knee flexion on post-operative day 1, with a limit of 90° of flexion in the first 2 weeks. Hyperextension is avoided to minimize strain on the healing PCL grafts. Early patellar mobilizations and passive
motion, with the goal of reaching 90° of flexion within the first 2 weeks, are important to prevent arthrofibrosis. In addition, rehabilitation should focus on preventing PTT through either body positioning or muscle pulling forces with exercise. Early therapy focuses on patient education, symptom management, joint mobility, and quadriceps activation exercises. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation and blood flow restriction therapy are useful for recovering quadriceps muscle strength and reducing muscular atrophy during the most restrictive early rehabilitation period.\[86,87\]

After 6 weeks, patients should begin weaning from crutches to gradually return to full weight bearing (FWB) and may initiate weight bearing exercise once FWB is well tolerated. Resisted hamstring curling into knee flexion and squatting >70° is restricted for the first 4 months to avoid deleterious PTT.\[88,89\] The patient's therapy routine should become incrementally more demanding and evolve in structure to recover fitness, stability, strength, and power. Adjustments should be made to honor any knee joint irritability, observed through pain and swelling. Repeat kneeling stress radiographs should be evaluated at 6 months post-operative. If there is <2 mm posterior translation in comparison to other knee, patients can discontinue the use of the PCL brace and begin an impact exercise program. Patients with >2 mm translation, BMI >35.0, or a revision PCLR should wear the PCL brace at night until 1 year postoperatively. Functional testing should be performed between 9 and 12 months postoperatively to determine return to full activities. A PCL dynamic brace should be worn for the 1st year of return to athletics. The literature has reported that objective graft stretching, as measured by PCL stress radiographs, does not occur with this PT protocol and this protocol has allowed for decreased knee stiffness, quicker return to knee motion, and improved level of function.\[50\]

**DISCUSSION**

**SB versus DB PCLR comparison**

Anatomic DB-PCLR techniques have been reported to improve IKDC scores, reduce PTT, and restore the knee back to its native biomechanical function to a greater degree in comparison with the single bundle PCL reconstruction.\[10,32,52,64,90,91\]

A systematic review in 2017 compared SB-PCLR and DB-PCLR in 441 patients with a minimum of 2 years of follow-up.\[10\] Both SB and DB PCL procedures resulted in similar subjective outcomes, but IKDC scores were higher postoperatively in patients who had undergone double bundle in comparison to single bundle.\[10\] In addition, the authors reported that the objective difference in posterior laxity postoperatively between knees (as measured with a Telos device at 90°) was significantly reduced in patients undergoing a double bundle PCL reconstruction.\[10\]

Analyzing eight separate biomechanical studies, Lee et al. (2017) reported that SB PCLR resulted in a significantly greater PTT at all knee flexion angles, measured with a posterior drawer test, compared to DB PCLR.\[12\] Furthermore, a meta-analysis in 2015 concluded that surgeons may be better able to restore the knee back to native biomechanics utilizing a double bundle approach.\[92\]

Two prospective, randomized controlled trials reported higher IKDC scores and decreased posterior translation postoperatively after undergoing the double bundle procedure in comparison to the single bundle.\[98,99\] Yoon et al. analyzed 25 cases of SB reconstruction and 28 cases with DB reconstruction, finding that the DB approach was associated with significantly higher IKDC scores and showed less PTT in comparison to SB with minimum of 2-year follow-up.\[90\] Li et al. reported similar results; at a minimum of 2-year follow-up the DB cohort had a statistically higher IKDC scores of 71.6 in comparison to 65.5 in the SB cohort.\[91\] In addition, the DB group demonstrated significantly less tibial translation in comparison to the SB group.\[91\]

**DB-PCL reconstruction outcomes**

DB-PCLR has been shown to reduce posterior laxity and improve subjective patient outcomes at follow-up.\[10,32,52,64,90,91\] LaPrade et al. (2018) reported significantly improved PTT on stress radiographs following DB reconstruction surgery (pre-operative was 11.0 ± 3.5 mm and post-operative was 1.6 ± 2.0 mm) in 100 consecutive patients with a mean follow-up of 3 years. Significantly, these results from anatomic PCL reconstruction were comparable to outcomes of an isolated ACL reconstruction control cohort.\[52\] Other studies objectively measured PTT with stress radiographs and reported similar results in reduction of posterior laxity and have reported statistical improvement in subjective outcome scores including Tegner, Lysholm, Cincinnati, WOMAC, IKDC, and SF-12 PCS following DB reconstruction.\[32,52,64,90,91\] LaPrade et al. (2018) observed that the Tegner activity score improved from 2 to 5, the Lysholm score from 48.0 to 86.0, WOMAC score decreased from 35.5 to 5.0, and SF-12 PCS improved from 34.0 to 54.8 after a median 3-year follow-up.\[52\] Subjective scores should be utilized in combination with objective measurements such as PTT on stress radiographs and imaging modalities including MRI when assessing the success of the surgery at follow-up.

**CONCLUSION**

The PCL is the largest and strongest ligament of the knee.\[22\] Isolated tears to the PCL are relatively uncommon because the bulk of cases occur concurrently with other knee injuries, especially the PLC.\[5,32,49,51,54\] When evaluating isolated or
combined PCL injuries, kneeling stress radiographs are a validated tool that should be used in objectively confirming the diagnosis. Much progress has been made in the treatment of both isolated and combined PCL tears, in terms of anatomic reconstruction techniques and improvements in both objective and subjective outcome measurements. Non-operative management with a dynamic knee brace can be utilized when the tear results in PTT <8 mm due to the PCL’s intrinsic healing ability, as it obtains its blood supply through the adjacent posterior capsule.\textsuperscript{[32,65]} However, if the PTT is >8 mm or a multi-ligament injury occurred, ligament reconstruction should be performed to decrease the risk of osteoarthritis and to restore native knee mechanics.\textsuperscript{[32,50,53,65]} Recent systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and the results from the work of many authors report the double-bundle approach is more effective in increasing IKDC scores, decreasing PTT, and better restoring the native biomechanics of the knee in comparison to the single-bundle approach.
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